



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
02/321,695	05/28/99	SASHIDA	N 990535

MM-240131

EXAMINER

ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI, MCLELAND
& NAUGHTON
1725 K STREET NW
SUITE 1000

CHEN, J

PAPER NUMBER

2813

PAPER NUM

DATE MAILED:

01/31/01

and to sue for damages. The case was filed on April 16, 1948. The case, consisting of two parts, is now in the process of trial in the circuit court of Cook County.

and the other, the *Notice of Appeal* and an *Appeal Brief*.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

³⁰ See supra note 4, at 11, for a better. (See note b6, 30)

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RIGHT The negative electrode is sooty, black, and burnt; and it will not have material issues, for example, the phosphorus is not there. The upper electrode without any burnt material issues.

Agree or disagree? (Please indicate one the following rejections)

Newly proposed or amended claims may be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed continuation concerning the non-allowable claims.

The following, although of interest, has been omitted from this paper to place the paper in conformance with the above recommendations.

The language of the new constitution was largely taken from the U.S. Constitution, which was newly drafted by the English-speaking delegates.

For purposes of this section, a "claimant" means a person who has a right to compensation under this chapter.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Cloud computing is a new way to access and use computing resources over the Internet.

Message sent: 12/20/2018 12:42:54 AM - Slave Simulation - 12.14.17 - 30 - 2018

Other: *Exhibit 4B, Annex 1, Schedule of Items and Values of Assets and Liabilities*

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/321,605	Applicant(s) Sashida et al.
Examiner Jack Chen	Group Art Unit 2813

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a or b)]

- a) expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on _____ (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Jan 16, 2001 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

 The proposed amendment(s):

- will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
- will not be entered because:
- they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
 - they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
 - they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: The new added limitation in claims 1 and 21 which raise new matter/issues. for example, the phrase "covering an entire portion of the upper electrode with an area which is larger than an area"

- Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

- Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
- The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

 For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claims allowed: None

Claims objected to: None

Claims rejected: 1-16 and 21

- The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ has has not been approved by the Examiner.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____.
- Other *Furthermore, Applicant needs to point out the support for the new added limitation.*

Charles S. Bowers Jr.
Charles Bowers
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800